		\mathcal{O}_{1}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Allowshills.	10/674,201	KNOERZER ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sandra M. Nolan	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communica IGHTS. This application is subje	application. If not included attion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the response dated 2	<u>4 May 2004</u> .	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-9</u> .		
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on 29 September 2003 are accepted by	the Examiner.	
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on oted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers: 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the proper transfer of the priority of the priority of the deposent attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT For the priority of the	e been received. e been received in Application Notuments have been received in the communication to file a reserved. The communicatio	his national stage application from the ply complying with the requirements ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF laration is deficient. TO-948) attached e Office action of swings in the front (not the back) of 21(d). L must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material SANDRA M. NOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER	6. ☐ Interview Summa Paper No./Mail I 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amei	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/674,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

2. Claims 1-9 are allowed.

3. The base claims are claims 1, 5 and 9. They can be summarized as follows:

Claim 1 covers a food package comprising:

-a first thin film forming the side wall of the package, which first film comprises a

sealant layer in contact with the food and comprising polypropylene and another

polymer along with not more than 2.5% of polyethylene or no more than 1% polybutene;

-a second thin to which the first film is laminated;

-a third thin film laminated to the second thin film.

<u>Claim 5</u> covers a food package comprising:

-a first thin film forming the side wall of the package, which first film comprises a

sealant layer in contact with the food and comprising 93% or more of polypropylene and

another polymer, with the other polymer being either polyethylene or polybutene;

-a second thin to which the first film is laminated;

-a third thin film laminated to the second thin film.

Claim 9 covers a food package comprising:

-a sealant layer in contact with the food, said sealant layer consisting essentially

of polypropylene and an added polymer to depress its melting point, wherein the added

polymer is one of:

(i) polybutene,

(ii) polyethylene,

Application/Control Number: 10/674,201

Art Unit: 1772

(iii) about 5% polyethylene and less than 1% polybutene, by layer weight, or

(iv) about 2% polybutene and less than 2.5% polyethylene, by layer weight.

4. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest packages having all of the

features of claims 1, 5 or 9.

5. The examiner found the arguments presented on pages 6-11 of the 24 May 2004

response regarding the patentability of the claims to be convincing.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sandra M.

Nolan, whose telephone number is 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached on

Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon,

can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

SANDRA M. NOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

SMN/smn 10674201(20040803)